

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/890,116	11/20/2001	John H. Healey	9958-004-999	6037
75	90 06/01/2004		EXAMINER	
albert wai kit chan world plaza suite 604			JAGOE, DONNA A	
141-07 20th av			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
whitestone, NY	11357		1614	
			DATE MAILED: 06/01/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/890,116	HEALEY ET AL.0					
navioury neutrin	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Donna Jagoe	1614					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 02 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avignal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper repl n places the applica	y to a ition in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date	-						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ree have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officinely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officinely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked.	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION.  R 1.136(a) and the apprunt of the fee. The appropriation of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or				
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF</li> </ol>	Brief must be filed within the pe						
2. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further		see NOTF below)					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	•	,,,					
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	•	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	S.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed	amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NO	Γ place the				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> </ol>	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			ınd an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>38-76</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	1 /1	1 /					
0. Other:	MARIA SUPERVISOR	NNE C. SEIDEL BY PATENT EXAMI OGY CENTER 1800					
		WT I					

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: applicant has amended cliam 38 to include a particle-size distribution that is about the same or less than the polymeric bone-cement's component's particle size distribution. The issues discussed in the previous office actions are all drawn to "about the same" particle size distribution. The addition of "or less than" presents a new issue that would require further search and consideration..

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: whether the larger particles rise up (as in the exhibits) or fall to the bottom (as in Remington) they still both underscore the fact that it would have been obvious to incorporate particles of about the same size to prevent demixing.